

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,807	05/24/2001	Wesley M. Mays	INT 200-08	2597
7590 11/02/2004		EXAMINER		
CHRISTOPHER A. WIKLOF 3531 99TH STREET, S.E.			BANGACHON, WILLIAM L	
EVERETT, WA 98208			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2635	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/864,807	MAYS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		William Bangachon	2635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	ay 2001.				
·		action is non-final.				
3)□	, —					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/864,807 Page 2

Art Unit: 2635

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-5, drawn to a method for using an RF tag, classified in class 340, subclass 10.3.
 - II. Claims 6-11, drawn to an RF tag programmer, classified in class 340, subclass 10.51.
 - III. Claims 12-22, drawn to an RF tag, classified in class 340, subclass 10.4.
 - IV. Claims 23-26, drawn to an apparatus for printing and programming intelligent labels, classified in class 340, subclass 10.51.
 - V. Claims 27-33, drawn to a method for printing and programming intelligent labels, classified in class 340, subclass 10.51.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I is a process for using an RF tag wherein invention II has separate utility such as programming tags. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 2635

3. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The

Page 3

inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

806.05(e)). In this case --the process as claimed can be practiced by another and

materially different apparatus such as common RF tags for inventory, monitoring or

access purposes --.

4. Inventions IV and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together

in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are

shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I is a process for using an

RF tag wherein invention IV has separate utility such as programming tags. See MPEP

§ 806.05(d).

5. Inventions V and I are related as process of making and process of using the

product. The use as claimed cannot be practiced with a materially different product.

Since the product is not allowable, restriction is proper between said method of making

and method of using. The product claim will be examined along with the elected

invention (MPEP § 806.05(i)).

6. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together

in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are

Art Unit: 2635

shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility

such as an RF tag. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

7. Inventions IV and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions

in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed

does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and

(2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the

particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not print intelligent labels.

The subcombination has separate utility such as programming RF tags.

8. Inventions V and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The

inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

806.05(e)). In this case --the process as claimed can be practiced by hand--.

9. Inventions IV and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions

in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed

does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and

(2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the

Page 4

Art Unit: 2635

particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the apparatus can program any

common RF tag. The subcombination has separate utility such as inventory,

monitoring, or access.

10. Inventions III and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together

in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are

shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility

such as inventory, monitor, or access. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

11. Inventions V and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The

inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

806.05(e)). In this case --the process as claimed can be practiced by hand--.

12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject

matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

13. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 5

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the invention are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Examiner Contact Information

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Bangachon whose telephone number is (571)-272-3065. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/4/10.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on (571)-272-3068. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314 for regular and After Final formal communications. The examiner's fax number is (571)-273-3065 for informal communications.

Art Unit: 2635

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

4700.

William L Bangachon Examiner Art Unit 2635

October 26, 2004

MICHAEL HORABIK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

mucht offerth

Page 7